



## Prevention and management of hearing loss in syndromic craniosynostosis: A case series



Elisa Biamino <sup>a,1</sup>, Andrea Canale <sup>b,1</sup>, Michelangelo Lacilla <sup>b</sup>, Annalisa Marinosci <sup>a</sup>, Federico Dagna <sup>b,\*</sup>, Lorenzo Genitori <sup>c</sup>, Paola Peretta <sup>d</sup>, Margherita Silengo <sup>a</sup>, Roberto Albera <sup>b</sup>, Giovanni Battista Ferrero <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pediatrics, University of Torino, Torino, Italy

<sup>b</sup> ENT, Department of Clinical Physiopathology, University of Torino, Torino, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Pediatric Neurosurgery Unit, Regina Margherita Children's Hospital, Torino, Italy

<sup>d</sup> Neurosurgery Unit, Meyer Hospital, Firenze, Italy

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 31 December 2015

Received in revised form 23 March 2016

Accepted 24 March 2016

Available online 11 April 2016

#### Keywords:

Craniosynostosis

Apert syndrome

ABR

Hearing loss

Chronic otitis media

### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To assess the audiological profile in a cohort of children affected by syndromic craniosynostosis.

**Methods:** Eleven children with Apert syndrome ( $n = 4$ ), Saethre-Chotzen syndrome ( $n = 3$ ), Muenke syndrome ( $n = 2$ ), Crouzon syndrome ( $n = 1$ ) and Pfeiffer syndrome type 1 ( $n = 1$ ) were submitted to a complete audiological evaluation including otoscopy, pure-tone audiometry, tympanometry and acoustic reflex testing, ABR, otoacoustic emissions, temporal bone High Resolution CT (HRCT) scan. The main outcome measures were prevalence, type and severity of hearing loss, prevalence of chronic otitis media, correlation with the time of first surgical correction.

**Results:** Seven of 11 patients (64%) presented hearing loss (HL), conductive in 3/7 patients (43%) and mixed in 4/7 (57%). No patients showed a purely sensorineural HL. All hearing impaired patients displayed middle ear disorders: the patients with conductive HL had otitis media with effusion (OME) and 3/4 patients with mixed HL showed tympanic alterations or cholesteatoma. A bilateral vestibular aqueduct enlargement was detected by HRCT scan in one normal hearing patient. The ABRs resulted normal in all cases.

**Conclusion:** Our study confirms the high prevalence of otologic diseases in such patients. In contrast with previous studies, middle ear disorders were responsible for the hearing impairment also in patients with mixed HL due to secondary inner ear damage. These findings restate the necessity of a close audiological follow-up. We did not detect the specific ABR abnormalities previously reported, possibly because of an early correction of the cranial vault malformations.

© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Craniosynostosis, the premature fusion of one or more cranial sutures, is a relatively common congenital defect, affecting about 1 in 2100 to 2500 live births [1,2]. Syndromic craniosynostoses, accounting for less than 20% of cases [3], are characterized by the synostosis of multiple sutures in association with extracranial malformations, typically involving limbs, heart and central

nervous system. This group includes more than 100 syndromes, the commonest being Apert (OMIM #101200), Crouzon (OMIM #123500) and Pfeiffer syndrome (OMIM #101600), all caused by heterozygous mutations in *FGFR1-2* genes, Muenke syndrome (OMIM #602849), caused by a heterozygous Pro250Arg mutation in *FGFR3* gene, and Saethre-Chotzen syndrome (OMIM #101400), caused by heterozygous mutations or deletions in *TWIST1* gene.

Hearing loss (HL) is a common complication of syndromic craniosynostosis. In most patients, HL is mostly conductive, resulting from recurrent otitis media secondary to impaired eustachian tube function, abnormal anatomy of the nasopharynx and/or cleft palate [4–8]. Conversely, a mild-to-moderate low frequency sensorineural HL has been commonly detected in Muenke syndrome, likely as a direct disruption of the *FGFR3*

\* Corresponding author at: Via Genova, 3, 10138 Torino, Italy.

Tel.: +39 0116336648; fax: +39 0116336604.

E-mail address: [federico.dagna@gmail.com](mailto:federico.dagna@gmail.com) (F. Dagna).

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally.

Pro250Arg mutation on the development of cochlear sensory epithelia [9]. Moreover, congenital malformations of the temporal bone, such as auditory canal stenosis or atresia, ossicular malformations or fixation and inner ear anomalies, have been reported as possible but extremely uncommon cause of HL in craniosynostosis [4–8]. Interestingly, specific abnormalities in auditory brainstem responses (ABR), including prolonged I-to-III interpeak latency and absent/dysmorphic wave II, have been described in patients affected by FGFR2 craniosynostosis. These anomalies have been hypothetically related to a compression of the auditory nerve in its passage through the internal auditory meatus and/or the posterior fossa [10].

The aim of this study was to assess the otologic and audiological profiles, inclusive of ABR evaluation, in a cohort of patients affected by syndromic craniosynostosis.

## 2. Materials and methods

The cohort included 11 patients recruited between January 2008 and October 2010. Informed consent was obtained prior to the inclusion in the study from a parent or legal guardian. Specifically, four patients were affected by Apert syndrome, one by Crouzon syndrome, two by Muenke syndrome, one by Pfeiffer syndrome type 1 and 3 by Saethre-Chotzen syndrome. The clinical diagnosis was confirmed by molecular analysis in all cases. There were nine male patients (82%) and two female patients (18%), aged 4–15 years (mean age 8.5 years). First cranial vault remodeling was performed in 10/11 patients within the 6th month of life (mean age 4 months) and in one case at the age of 12 months. Patients' clinical and molecular data are summarized in Table 1.

Each patient was submitted to a complete otologic evaluation including otoscopy, hearing assessment by pure-tone audiometry (PTA) at 0.25–0.5–1–2–4–8 kHz, tympanometry and acoustic reflex testing, ABR and otoacoustic emissions. In addition, a temporal bone High Resolution CT scan was performed in all patients.

ABRs were recorded using standard procedures with the EP 25 device (Amplifon, Italy), placing an active electrode on the forehead, a reference electrode on the earlobe of the stimulated side and a ground electrode on the contralateral earlobe. Both ears were tested while patients were lying down relaxed, but not sedated, in a quiet environment. Stimuli consisted of 0.1 ms clicks through TDH 49 earphones presented at 100 dB SPL if the patient was normal hearing (i.e. better than 25 dB at all frequencies tested at pure tone audiometry) and at 115 dB SPL if impaired hearing. Stimulation rate was 11 Hz, using 1000 sweeps, and responses were filtered through a bandpass set at 100 Hz and at 3000 Hz. Wave I, III and V latencies and interwave (I–III, III–V, I–V) latencies were measured and compared with our laboratory standards [11] and literature data [12]. For this study, we set the limit for normal hearing at 25 dB both for air (AC) and bone

conduction (BC) thresholds for all the tested frequencies. We referred to conductive hearing loss when AC was worse than 25 dB for one or more frequencies with normal BC; we referred to mixed hearing loss when both AC and BC were worse than 25 dB with BC better than AC.

## 3. Results

Four of 11 patients (36%) had normal hearing, defined as a hearing threshold better than 25 dB, and 7/11 (64%) presented HL. Mean PTA thresholds observed in the patients are reported in Table 2. The degree of HL ranged from mild to moderate; mean air (AC) and bone conduction (BC) hearing thresholds at 0.5–1–2–4 kHz were 35.5 and 11.5 dB, respectively.

Hearing loss was conductive in 3/7 patients (43%) and mixed in 4/7 (57%). The former group of patients had 28.5 dB AC and 10.8 dB BC mean hearing thresholds; the latter had 38.4 dB AC and 13 dB BC mean hearing thresholds. No patients showed purely sensori-neural HL. The mean age of normal hearing patients was 5.75 years (SD 1.71), compared with 10.14 years (SD 2.67) of the hearing impaired group; the difference is statistically significant at Student's *t*-test ( $p < 0.05$ ).

All the patients presenting HL displayed a history of recurrent otitis media. At the time of examination otitis media with middle ear effusion (OME) persisted in 3/3 (100%) patients with conductive HL, as confirmed by otoscopy, flat tympanograms and absence of acoustic reflexes. Of these patients two had TWIST mutations; the one with FGFR2 mutation eventually developed cholesteatoma on one ear and tympanic atelectasis on the other. On the contrary 3/4 (75%) patients with mixed HL showed at otoscopic examination, the sequelae of severe middle ear disventilative disorders, such as tympanic retractions, atelectasis or perforations and cholesteatomatous otitis. None of these patients had an unaffected side. All these patients had FGFR2 mutations. The remaining patient with mixed HL presented on both sides normal otoscopy with type A tympanogram and absence of acoustic reflexes; these findings were compatible with ossicular fixation, although we did not have any surgical verification. This patient had Apert syndrome (mutation S252W FGFR2). None of the patients with FGFR3 and FGFR1 mutations had hearing loss.

High Resolution CT scan resulted normal in all cases, with the exception of one patient displaying a bilateral enlargement of vestibular aqueduct and normal hearing. No patients complained of tinnitus or dizziness.

Finally, OAE were absent in all the hearing impaired patients and the ABR recording showed normal latencies and wave morphology in each tested ear, consistent with PTA thresholds. We found no significant differences between hearing impaired and normal patients ( $p > 0.05$  at Student's *t*-test, Table 3).

**Table 1**  
Patients' clinical and molecular data.

Case	Diagnosis	Mutation	Sex	Age (years)	Age at diagnosis	Age at first vault remodelling
1	Apert	S252W FGFR2	M	9	at birth	6 months
2	Apert	P253R FGFR2	F	10	at birth	2 months
3	Apert	S252W FGFR2	M	15	at birth	12 months
4	Apert	P253R FGFR2	F	11	at birth	4 months
5	Crouzon	D336G FGFR2	F	10	3 months	3 months
6	Muenke	P250R FGFR3	F	6	5 months	5 months
7	Muenke	P250R FGFR3	F	5	2 months	3 months
8	Pfeiffer	P252R FGFR1	M	4	2 months	5 months
9	Saethre-Chotzen	K145N TWIST	F	8	3 months	3 months
10	Saethre-Chotzen	R154T TWIST	F	6	2 months	4 months
11	Saethre-Chotzen	R116L TWIST	F	10	at birth	6 months

**Table 2**

Mean patients' PTA thresholds. PTA values, reporting mean threshold in the frequency range 0.5–4 kHz, and threshold for each frequency are shown.

Case	Side		0.5 Hz	1 Hz	2 Hz	4 Hz	Mean (dB)
1	R	BC (dB)	10	10	10	15	11.25
		AC (dB)	30	10	10	15	16.25
	L	BC (dB)	10	10	10	20	12.5
		AC (dB)	35	20	15	20	22.5
2	R	BC (dB)	10	10	10	15	11.25
		AC (dB)	70	60	40	25	48.75
	L	BC (dB)	5	10	10	15	10
		AC (dB)	65	55	40	30	47.5
3	R	BC (dB)	5	5	20	30	15
		AC (dB)	55	45	20	30	37.5
	L	BC (dB)	5	5	25	20	13.75
		AC (dB)	50	45	25	20	35
4	R	BC (dB)	5	10	30	40	21.25
		AC (dB)	35	30	35	40	35
	L	BC (dB)	10	15	30	35	22.5
		AC (dB)	65	60	55	55	58.75
5	R	BC (dB)	5	5	10	10	7.5
		AC (dB)	50	40	45	35	42.5
	L	BC (dB)	5	5	10	10	7.5
		AC (dB)	45	35	30	35	36.25
6	R	BC (dB)	20	20	20	20	20
		AC (dB)	20	20	20	20	20
	L	BC (dB)	20	20	20	20	20
		AC (dB)	20	20	20	20	20
7	R	BC (dB)	15	15	15	15	15
		AC (dB)	15	15	15	15	15
	L	BC (dB)	15	15	15	15	15
		AC (dB)	15	15	15	15	15
8	R	BC (dB)	15	15	15	20	16.25
		AC (dB)	15	15	15	20	16.25
	L	BC (dB)	15	15	15	20	16.25
		AC (dB)	15	15	15	20	16.25
9	R	BC (dB)	5	5	10	10	7.5
		AC (dB)	5	5	10	10	7.5
	L	BC (dB)	5	5	10	10	7.5
		AC (dB)	5	5	10	10	7.5
10	R	BC (dB)	5	10	10	10	8.75
		AC (dB)	30	30	20	20	25
	L	BC (dB)	5	10	10	10	8.75
		AC (dB)	40	30	25	20	28.75
11	R	BC (dB)	5	10	10	15	10
		AC (dB)	25	25	20	15	21.25
	L	BC (dB)	5	10	10	15	10
		AC (dB)	30	30	20	15	23.75

**Table 3**ABR mean absolute and interwave latencies in normal (NH) and hearing impaired (HL) patients (standard deviation values are reported in brackets). Differences are not significant at Student's *t*-test.

	I	III	V	I–III	III–V	I–V
NH ( <i>n</i> = 4)	1.88 (0.32)	3.98 (0.58)	5.82 (0.52)	2.1 (0.25)	1.84 (0.11)	3.94 (0.22)
HL ( <i>n</i> = 7)	1.91 (0.27)	4.07 (0.29)	5.94 (0.22)	2.15 (0.18)	1.87 (0.13)	4.03 (0.13)

#### 4. Discussion

Hearing loss is a well-known complication of syndromic craniosynostosis [6,8]. It results from eustachian tube dysfunction and footplate fixation when conductive and from inner ear anomalies, such as large vestibular aqueduct and superior semicircular canal dehiscence when sensorineural [4–7,13].

To define the prevalence of HL in our cohort of syndromic craniosynostoses, we submitted 11 patients to a complete otologic evaluation. The majority of them (64%) turned out to be hearing impaired, confirming the already reported high prevalence of HL in syndromic craniosynostosis [8]. In our experience, HL was always secondary to middle ear disorders and never purely sensorineural, in contrast with previous data [9,13]. All the patients affected by mixed HL had a history of recurrent and chronic otitis, with secondary damage of inner ear and consequent high frequencies HL. The absence of cases with sensorineural HL in the patients

affected by Muenke syndrome could be related to their limited number (*n* = 2) or young age. Just 1 patient out of 11 (9%) presented at HRCT an inner ear anomaly, namely bilateral vestibular aqueduct enlargement, but it was not associated with any audiometric or ABR anomalies.

Interestingly, all the patients with FGFR2 mutations had (or developed during their follow-up) cholesteatoma at least on one side. Of the three patients with TWIST mutation, two had bilateral middle ear effusion with mild hearing loss, while the other one was normal. None of the patients with FGFR3 and FGFR1 mutations had hearing loss.

The otoscopy in hearing impaired patients showed chronic otitis with or without cholesteatoma, middle ear effusion and tympanic retractions, all caused by disventilative disorders related to eustachian tube dysfunction. Interestingly, the prevalence of chronic otitis with cholesteatoma or colesteatomatous evolution (36%) was higher than expected for age. This is probably ascribable

to overlooked and untreated middle ear disorders, as the clearance of otitis media with effusion may be prolonged in children with craniofacial abnormalities. These data restate the necessity of close otologic follow-up in syndromic craniosynostosis because otitis and middle ear effusion cannot be managed safely as in the normal pediatric population. We therefore recommend regular evaluation every 3–6 months depending on the clinical risk of developing chronic cholesteatomatous otitis, and tympanoplasty for complex cases.

Following, the initial finding of an abnormal ABR profile in a patient affected by Apert syndrome, Church et al. evaluated a cohort of 11 patients affected by FGFR2 craniosynostosis. They documented in 10/11 patients (91%) a prolongation of I–III interpeak latencies associated with an abnormal morphology of wave II, frequently asymmetric, thus proposing periodic ABR evaluation for the early detection of neurologic dysfunctions [10]. We consequently included ABR analysis in the otologic evaluation of our cohort of patients, but we obtained results within normal limits in all patients without significant differences between normal hearing and hearing impaired patients.

As known, ABR assesses the functional integrity of the whole auditory brainstem pathway. Specifically, wave I and II are generated in the distal and proximal part of the auditory nerve, whilst I–III interval reflects the neural conduction in the caudal part of the auditory brainstem as far as the dorsal cochlear nucleus [14]. Moreover, ABR has been demonstrated to indirectly provide information about the auditory pathway-related structures [15]. Interestingly, prolonged interpeak latencies have been documented in ABRs of patients affected by benign intracranial hypertension syndrome, as a result of stretching-compression of the cochlear nerve and brainstem [16]. Moreover, improvement of ABR I–III interpeak latencies has been documented after cranial decompression surgery in children [17], as well as for visual evoked potential [18–20].

With reference to all these data, a possible explanation of the ABR abnormalities detected by Church et al. [10] might be a compression of auditory nerve through the internal auditory canal, secondary to raised intracranial pressure. In keeping with this hypothesis, the preoperative prolongation of I-to-III interpeak latencies improved after posterior fossa decompression surgery in 2/2 patients with available postsurgical ABR evaluation. In our cohort, 10/11 patients underwent early correction of craniosynostosis (first vault remodeling within 6 months of age) and ABR evaluation was performed several years after craniosynostosis correction. If this explains the normal ABR results we have observed, early surgical correction of the malformations of cranial vault might acquire a new meaning.

Even if we cannot draw definite conclusions given the small sample, patients with FGFR2 mutations tended to have more severe otologic diseases with worse hearing. On the contrary patients with FGFR3 and FGFR1 mutations had normal ears and hearing, even if they were the youngest of our sample, thus usually prone to middle ear effusion. Patients with TWIST mutation had mild or no hearing impairment with uncomplicated middle ear disease. Further studies are needed to confirm these observations.

## 5. Conclusion

Otologic diseases are frequent in patients with craniosynostosis, often becoming chronic and requiring careful audiologic

evaluation and follow-up strategies, in order to prevent hearing impairment. The syndromes caused by FGFR2 mutations could be more severe from an otologic point of view. Audiologic testing and otologic intervention should always be included in the assessment and management of children affected by syndromic craniosynostosis. Early correction of the cranial vault malformation could prevent the development of ABR anomalies.

## Financial disclosure and conflict of interest

The Authors have no financial relationships nor conflict of interest relevant to this article to disclose

## References

- [1] E. Lajeunie, M. Le Merrer, C. Bonaiti-Pellie, D. Marchac, D. Renier, Genetic study of nonsyndromic coronal craniosynostosis, *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 55 (4) (1995) 500–504.
- [2] S.L. Boulet, S.A. Rasmussen, M.A. Honein, A population-based study of craniosynostosis in metropolitan Atlanta, 1989–2003, *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 146A (8) (2008) 984–991.
- [3] A.O.M. Wilkie, J.C. Byren, J.A. Hurst, J. Jayamohan, D. Johnson, S.J. Knight, et al., Prevalence and complication of single gene and chromosomal disorders in craniosynostosis, *Pediatrics* 126 (2010) e391–e400.
- [4] R.L. Sulica, K.M. Grundfast, Otologic manifestations of craniosynostosis syndromes, in: M.M. Cohen, R.E. MacLean (Eds.), *Craniosynostosis*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [5] D. Rajenderkumar, D.E. Bamiou, T. Sirimanna, Audiological profile in Apert syndrome, *Arch. Dis. Child* 90 (2005) 592–593.
- [6] G. Zhou, L.T. Schwartz, Q. Gopen, Inner ear anomalies and conductive hearing loss in children with Apert syndrome: an overlooked otologic aspect, *Otol. Neurotol.* 30 (2009) 184–189.
- [7] L.D. Vallino-Napoli, Audiologic and otologic characteristics of Pfeiffer syndrome, Cleft palate-craniof. J. 33 (6) (1996) 524–529.
- [8] T. de Jong, M.S. Toll, H.H. de Gier, I.M. Mathijssen, Audiological profile of children and young adults with syndromic and complex craniosynostosis, *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 137 (8) (2011) 775–778.
- [9] E.S. Doherty, F. Lacbawan, D.W. Hadley, C. Brewer, C. Zalewski, H.J. Kim, et al., Muenke syndrome (FGFR3-related craniosynostosis): expansion of the phenotype and review of the literature, *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* 143A (2007) 3204–3215.
- [10] M.W. Church, L. Parent-Jenkins, A.A. Rozzelle, F.E. Eldis, S.N.J. Kazzi, Auditory brainstem response abnormalities and hearing loss in children with craniosynostosis, *Pediatrics* 119 (6) (2007) e1351–e1360.
- [11] A. Issa, H.F. Ross, An improved procedure for assessing ABR latency in young subjects based on a new normative data set, *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 32 (1) (1995) 35–47.
- [12] K.H. Chiappa, *Evoked Potentials in Clinical Medicine*, 3rd ed, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 1997.
- [13] F. Huang, R. Sweet, T.L. Tewfik, Apert syndrome and hearing loss with ear anomalies: a case report and literature review, *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 68 (2004) 495–501.
- [14] I. Rapin, J. Gravel, Auditory neuropathy: physiologic and pathologic evidence calls for more diagnostic specificity, *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 67 (7) (2003) 707–728.
- [15] L.C. Alves de Sousa, S. Rodrigues Lda, M.R. Piza, D.R. Ferreira, D.B. Ruiz, Occasional finding of neurological disorders during children hearing loss evaluation using the ABR, *Braz. J. Otorhinolaryngol.* 73 (3) (2007) 424–428.
- [16] A. Sismanis, R.H. Callari, W.S. Slomka, F.M. Butts, Auditory-evoked responses in benign intracranial hypertension syndrome, *Laryngoscope* 100 (11) (1990) 1152–1155.
- [17] P.O. Holliday, D. Pillsbury, D.L. Kelly Jr., R. Dillard, Brain stem auditory evoked potentials in Arnold-Chiari malformation: possible prognostic value and changes with surgical decompression, *Neurosurgery* 16 (1) (1985) 48–53.
- [18] A. Liasis, K.K. Nischal, B. Walters, D. Thompson, S. Hardy, A. Towell, et al., Monitoring visual function in children with syndromic craniosynostosis: a comparison of 3 methods, *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 124 (8) (2006) 1119–1126.
- [19] K. Mursch, K. Brockmann, J.K. Lang, E. Markakis, J. Behnke-Mursch, Visually evoked potentials in 52 children requiring operative repair of craniosynostosis, *Pediatr. Neurosurg.* 29 (6) (1998) 320–323.
- [20] D.A. Thompson, A. Liasis, S. Hardy, R. Hagan, R.D. Hayward, R.D. Evans, et al., Prevalence of abnormal pattern reversal visual evoked potentials in craniosynostosis, *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 118 (1) (2006) 184–192.